From anarchism to artificial intelligence and genetics to global terrorism, BEGINNER'S GUIDES equip readers with the tools to fully understand the most challenging and important issues confronting modern society.

> anarchism ruth kinna

anti-capitalism simon tormey

artificial intelligence blay whitby

biodiversity john spicer

bioterror & biowarfare maicolm dando

the brain a. al-chalabi, m.r. turner & r.s. delamont

criminal psychology ray bull et al.

democracy david beetham

evolution burton s. guttman evolutionary psychology robin dunbar, louise barrett & john lycett

genetics a. griffiths, b. guttman, d. suzuki & t. cullis

global terrorism leonard weinberg

NATO jennifer medcalf

the palestine-israeli conflict dan cohn-sherbok & dawoud el-alami

postmodernism kevin hart

quantum physics alastair i.m. rae

religion martin forward

# energy

# a beginner's guide

vaclav smil



#### FORTHCOMING:

astrobiology asylum beat generation bioethics capitalism cloning conspiracy theories extrasolar planets

mafia forensic science racism gender and sexuality time the irish conflict

fair trade

galaxies

human rights

immigration

political philosophy radical philosophy the small arms trade volcanoes

ONEWORLD

chapter one

#### x list of figures

Figure 17 A late nineteenth-century windmill on the US Great Plains (reproduced from Wolff, A. R. 1900. *The Windmill as Prime Mover*) 84

Figure 18 Global coal, crude oil, and natural gas production, and electricity generation 86, 87

- Figure 19 James Watt's steam engine (left) and detail of separate condenser (right) (reproduced from Farey, J. 1827. A Treatise on Steam Engines) 93
- Figure 20 Section through Parsons's 1 MW steam turbine (reproduced from the 1911 (eleventh) edition of *Encyclopedia Britannica*) 96
- Figure 21 The energy balance of a coal-fired electricity generating plant 114
- Figure 22 World and US wind generating capacity 119
- Figure 23 World and US shipments of PV cells 119
- Figure 24 Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (plotted from data available from the Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center) 124
- Figure 25 China's dietary transition, 1980–2000 (plotted from data in various editions of *China Statistical Yearbook*) 130
- Figure 26 Lamp efficacy 137
- Figure 27 Global motor vehicle registrations (plotted from data from *Motor Vehicle Facts & Figures*) 140
- Figure 28 Cutaway view of GE90 engine (image courtesy of General Electric) 146
- Figure 29 Boeing 747-400 photographed at Los Angeles International Airport (Photograph courtesy of Brian Lockett) 147
- Figure 30 Distribution of average national per caput energy consumption and a Lorenz curve of global commercial energy consumption 159
- Figure 31 Comparison of power densities of energy consumption and renewable energy production 165

Figure 32 Decarbonization of the world's energy supply 173

Figure 33 Albany wind farm (reproduced courtesy of Western Power Corporation) 175

# energy in our minds: concepts and measures

The word energy is, as are so many abstract terms (from hypothesis to sophrosyne), a Greek compound. Aristotle (384–322 B.C.E.) created the term in his *Metaphysics*, by joining  $\varepsilon v$  (in) and  $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ (work) to form EveryELQ (energeia, "actuality, identified with movement") that he connected with *entelechia*, "complete reality." According to Aristotle, every object's existence is maintained by energeia related to the object's function. The verb energein thus came to signify motion, action, work and change. No noteworthy intellectual breakthroughs refined these definitions for nearly two subsequent millennia, as even many founders of modern science had very faulty concepts of energy. Eventually, the term became practically indistinguishable from power and force. In 1748, David Hume (1711–1776) complained, in An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding, that "There are no ideas, which occur in metaphysics, more obscure and uncertain, than those of power, force, energy or necessary connexion, of which it is every moment necessary for us to treat in all our disguisitions."

In 1807, in a lecture at the Royal Institution, Thomas Young (1773–1829) defined energy as the product of the mass of a body and the square of its velocity, thus offering an inaccurate formula (the mass should be halved) and restricting the term only to kinetic (mechanical) energy. Three decades later the seventh edition of the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (completed in 1842) offered only a very brief and unscientific entry, describing energy as "the power, virtue, or efficacy of a thing. It is also used figuratively, to denote emphasis

#### energy in our minds: concepts and measures 3

### energy: a beginner's guide

in speech." Little has changed in popular discourse since that, or indeed since Hume's, time, except the frequency of the term's misuse. At the beginning of the twenty-first century energy, its derivative verb (energize) and its adjective (energetic), are used ubiquitously and loosely as qualifiers for any number of animated, zestful, vigorous actions and experiences, and energy is still routinely confused with power and force. Examples abound: a powerful new chairman brings fresh energy to an old company; a crowd is energized by a forceful speaker; pop-culture is America's soft power.

Devotees of physical fitness go one step further and claim (against all logic and scientific evidence) they are energized *after* a particularly demanding bout of protracted exercise. What they really want to say is that they feel better afterwards, and we have a perfectly understandable explanation for that: prolonged exercise promotes the release of endorphins (neurotransmitters that reduce the perception of pain and induce euphoria) in the brain and hence may produce a feeling of enhanced well-being. A long run may leave you tired, even exhausted, elated, even euphoric—but never energized, that is with a higher level of stored energy than before you began.

# science of energy: origins and abstracts

Sloppy use of ingrained terms is here to stay, but in informed writing there has been no excuse for ill-defined terms for more than a hundred years. Theoretical energy studies reached a satisfactory (though not a perfect) coherence and clarity before the end of the nineteenth century when, after generations of hesitant progress, the great outburst of Western intellectual and inventive activity laid down the firm foundations of modern science and soon afterwards developed many of its more sophisticated concepts. The ground work for these advances began in the seventeenth century, and advanced considerably during the course of the eighteenth, when it was aided by the adoption both of Isaac Newton's (1642–1727) comprehensive view of physics and by engineering experiments, particularly those associated with James Watt's (1736–1819) improvements of steam engines (Figure 1; see also Figure 19).

During the early part of the nineteenth century a key contribution to the multifaceted origins of modern understanding of energy



Figure 1 James Watt

were the theoretical deductions of a young French engineer, Sadi Carnot (1796–1832), who set down the universal principles applicable to producing kinetic energy from heat and defined the maximum efficiency of an ideal (reversible) heat engine. Shortly afterwards, Justus von Liebig (1803–1873), one of the founders of modern chemistry and science-based agriculture, offered a basically correct interpretation of human and animal metabolism, by ascribing the generation of carbon dioxide and water to the oxidation of foods or feeds.

The formulation of one of the most fundamental laws of modern physics had its origin in a voyage to Java made in 1840 by a young German physician, Julius Robert Mayer (1814–1878), as ship's doctor. The blood of patients he bled there (the practice of bleeding as a cure for many ailments persisted well into the nineteenth century) appeared much brighter than the blood of patients in Germany.

Mayer had an explanation ready: blood in the tropics does not have to be as oxidized as blood in temperate regions, because less energy is needed for body metabolism in warm places. But this answer led him to another key question. If less heat is lost in the

2

#### energy in our minds: concepts and measures 5

### energy: a beginner's guide



Figure 2 James Joule

tropics due to radiation how about the heat lost as a result of physical work (that is, expenditure of mechanical energy) which clearly warms its surroundings, whether done in Europe or tropical Asia? Unless we put forward some mysterious origin, that heat, too, must come from the oxidation of blood—and hence heat and work must be equivalent and convertible at a fixed rate. And so began the formulation of the law of the conservation of energy. In 1842 Mayer published the first quantitative estimate of the equivalence, and three years later extended the idea of energy conservation to all natural phenomena, including electricity, light, and magnetism and gave details of his calculation based on an experiment with gas flow between two insulated cylinders.

The correct value for the equivalence of heat and mechanical energy was found by the English physicist (see Figure 2) James Prescott Joule (1818–1889), after he conducted a large number of careful experiments. Joule used very sensitive thermometers to measure the temperature of water being churned by an assembly of revolving vanes driven by descending weights: this arrangement made it possible to measure fairly accurately the mechanical energy invested in the churning process. In 1847 Joule's painstaking experiments yielded a result that turned out be within less than one per cent of the actual value. The law of conservation of energy that energy can be neither created nor destroyed—is now commonly known as the first law of thermodynamics.

In 1850 the German theoretical physicist Rudolf Clausius (1822–1888) published his first paper on the mechanical theory of heat, in which he proved that the maximum performance obtainable from an engine using the Carnot cycle depends solely on the temperatures of the heat reservoirs, not on the nature of the working substance, and that there can never be a positive heat flow from a colder to a hotter body. Clausius continued to refine this fundamental idea and in his 1865 paper he coined the term *entropy*—from the Greek  $\tau po \pi \eta$  (transformation)—to measure the degree of disorder in a closed system. Clausius also crisply formulated the second law of thermodynamics: entropy of the universe tends to maximum. In practical terms this means that in a closed system (one without any external supply of energy) the availability of useful energy can only decline. A lump of coal is a high-quality, highly ordered (low entropy) form of energy; its combustion will produce heat, a dispersed, low-quality, disordered (high entropy) form of energy. The sequence is irreversible: diffused heat (and emitted combustion gases) cannot be ever reconstituted as a lump of coal. Heat thus occupies a unique position in the hierarchy of energies: all other forms of energy can be completely converted to it, but its conversion into other forms can be never complete, as only a portion of the initial input ends up in the new form.

The second law of thermodynamics, the universal tendency toward heat death and disorder, became perhaps the grandest of all cosmic generalizations—yet also one of which most non-scientists remain ignorant. This reality was famously captured by C. P. Snow (1905–1980), an English physicist, politician and novelist, in his 1959 Rede Lecture *The Two Cultures and the Scientific Revolution*:

A good many times I have been present at gatherings of people who, by the standards of the traditional culture, are thought highly educated and who have with considerable gusto been expressing their incredulity at the illiteracy of scientists. Once or twice I have been provoked and have asked the company how many of them could describe the Second Law of Thermodynamics. The response was cold: it was also negative. Yet I was asking something which is about the scientific equivalent of: "Have you read a work of Shakespeare's?"

# energy: a beginner's guide

Despite its supposed universality, the second law appears to be constantly violated by living organisms, whose conception and growth (as individuals) and whose evolution (as species and ecosystems) produces distinctly more ordered, more complex forms of life. But there is really no conflict: the second law applies only to closed systems under thermodynamic equilibrium. The Earth's biosphere is an open system, which incessantly imports solar energy and uses its photosynthetic conversion to new plant mass as the foundation for greater order and organization (a reduction of entropy).

Finally, the third law of thermodynamics, initially formulated in 1906 as Walther Nernst's (1864–1914) heat theorem, states that all processes come to a stop (and entropy shows no change) only when the temperature nears absolute zero (-273 °C).

The first decade of the twentieth century brought a fundamental extension of the first law of thermodynamics when, in 1905, Albert Einstein (1879–1955) concluded that mass is itself a form of energy. According to perhaps the world's most famous equation—  $E = mc^2$ —energy is equal to the product of mass and the square of the speed of light. As a result, just four tonnes of matter contain energy that is equivalent to the world's annual consumption of commercial energy—but this astonishing potential remains just that, as we have no means to unlock the mass energy in limestone or water.

The only time when we commercially convert a relatively large (but still very small) share of mass into energy is in nuclear reactors: the fission (splitting) of the nuclei of 1 kg of uranium 235 releases an amount of energy equivalent to 190 tonnes of crude oil as it diminishes the initial mass by just one gram, or a thousandth of its original mass. In contrast, burning one kilogram of crude oil will diminish the mass of the fuel (and of the oxygen needed for its combustion) by only one ten billionth; too small a reduction to measure.

After less than a century of vigorous scientific effort the understanding of the nature of energy phenomena was virtually complete. But despite this large, and highly complex, body of scientific knowledge, there is no easy way to grasp the fundamental concept, which is intellectually much more elusive than is the understanding of mass or temperature. Richard Feynman, one of the most brilliant physicists of the twentieth century, put it with disarming honesty in his famous 1963 *Lectures on Physics*: It is important to realize that in physics today, we have no knowledge of what energy is. We do not have a picture that energy comes in little blobs of a definite amount. It is not that way. However, there are formulas for calculating some numerical quantity ... It is an abstract thing in that it does not tell us the mechanism or the *reasons* for the various formulas.

Difficult as it is, we have to try to make that abstract thing more accessible.

# fundamental concepts: energies, conversions, efficiencies

By far the most common definition of energy is "the capacity for doing work" but the full implication of this simple statement becomes clear only when you go beyond thinking about work as mechanical exertion (in physics terms, energy transferred through application of force over a distance, in common terms a labor to be performed, be it typing a letter or transplanting rice seedlings) and apply the term in a generic manner to any process that produces a change (of location, speed, temperature, composition) in an affected system (an organism, a machine, a planet). If you were to sit motionless in a quiet room for the next ten minutes, contemplating this statement, you would not have accomplished any work, in the narrow, strictly physical and commonly used, sense of applying force to a mechanical task.

But even as you sit motionless your metabolism is performing a great deal of work, as energy obtained from digested food is used (noting just the four key processes) to power your breathing, importing oxygen and exhaling carbon dioxide, to maintain the core temperature of your body at 37 °C, to pump blood and to create the numerous enzymes that control everything from digestion to the transmission of nerve signals. By thinking hard about an abstract concept you do actually use a bit more energy but making all those additional neuronal connections in your brain amounts to an entirely negligible mark-up. Even when you are fast asleep, your brain accounts for about twenty per cent of your body's metabolism and even a taxing mental exercise will raise that share only a little.

Outside a quiet room, the work done by various energies is accomplished in myriad ways. The lightning that slashes through

#### energy in our minds: concepts and measures

to	magnetic	chemical	thermal	kinetic	electrical	nuclear	gravítationa
electro- magnetic		chemilumines cence	thermal radiation	accelerating charge phosphor	electro- magnetic radiation electro- luminescence	gamma reactions nuclear bombs	
chemical	photo- synthesis photo- chemistry	chemical processing	boiling dissociation	dissociation by radiolysis	electrolysis	radiation catalysis ionization	
thermal	solar absorption	combustion	heat exchange	friction	resistance heating	fission fusion	
kinetic	radiometers	metabolism muscles	thermal expansion internal combustion	gears	motor electro- strictions	radioactivity nuclear bombs	falling objects
electrical	solar cells photo- electricity	fuei ceil bàtteries	thermo- electricity thermionics	conventional generator		nuclear batteries	
nuclear	gama- neutron reactions						
witational				rísing objects			

Figure 3 Energies and their conversions

summer skies works in a very different way from a giant harbor crane picking up large steel crates from a pier and stacking them up to a dizzying height on a container ship—and the differences are due to one of the most fundamental physical realities, the existences of multiple forms of energy and their conversions, on space and time scales ranging from galactic to sub-atomic and from evolutionary to ephemeral. Lightning works in a tiny fraction of a second, illuminating and heating the atmosphere and decomposing molecules of nitrogen, that is, converting the electrical energy of cloud-to-cloud or cloud-to-earth discharge to electromagnetic, thermal and chemical energy. In contrast, the motors of stacking cranes in container ports work around the clock, converting electrical energy into mechanical and the potential energy of loaded cargo.

Energy is not a single, easily definable entity, but rather an abstract collective concept, adopted by nineteenth century physicists to cover a variety of natural and anthropogenic (generated by humans) phenomena. Its most commonly encountered forms are heat (thermal energy), motion (kinetic or mechanical energy), light (electromagnetic energy) and the chemical energy of fuels and foodstuffs. Some of their conversions are the very fundamentals of life: during photosynthesis a small share of the electromagnetic energy of light becomes the chemical energy of bacteria and plants, and cooking and heating is done by converting chemical energy in biomass (wood, charcoal, straw) or fossil fuels (coals, oils, gases) to thermal energy (Figure 3). Others are a matter of convenience enjoyed on large scales: the conversion of chemical energy to electrical energy in batteries operates billions of mobile phones, music players and radios. And some are quite rare: for example, the gamma-neutron reactions that are produced by converting electromagnetic energy to nuclear energy are used only for specialized scientific and industrial tasks.

Kinetic energy is associated with all moving masses, be they heavy, tank-penetrating shells made of depleted uranium or wispy clouds ascending above a tropical rainforest. Its manifestations are easy to perceive and its magnitude easy to calculate, as it is simply half of the moving object's mass (m) multiplied by the square of its velocity (v):  $E_k = \frac{1}{2} mv^2$ . A key thing to note is that kinetic energy depends on the square of the object's velocity: doubling the speed imparts four times more energy, tripling it nine times more—and hence at high speed, even small objects can become very dangerous. Tornado winds, in excess of eighty meters per second (nearly 290 km/h) can drive featherweight pieces of straw into tree trunks; tiny space debris (a lost bolt) traveling at 8,000 m/s could pierce the pressurized suit of a space-walking astronaut, and (although the risk has turned out to be very small indeed) a space vehicle can be damaged by a micrometeoroid traveling at 60,000 m/s.

Potential energy results from a change in the spatial setting of a mass or of its configuration. Gravitational potential energy, resulting from a changed position in the Earth's gravitational field, is ubiquitous: anything lifted up acquires it, be it rising water vapor, a hand lifted in a gesture, a soaring bird, or an ascending rocket. Water stored behind a dam in order to fall on turbine blades and generate electricity is a practical example of using gravitational potential energy to a great economic advantage: nearly twenty per cent of the world's electricity is generated this way. The potential energy of water stored behind a dam (or a rock precariously poised on a weathering slope) is simply a product of the elevated mass, its mean

### 10 energy: a beginner's guide

height above ground (h) and the gravitational constant (g):  $E_p = mgh$ . Springs that have been tensioned by winding are a common example of the practical use of elastic potential energy that is stored due to their deformation and released as useful work as the coil unwinds and powers a watch or a walking toy.

Biomass (living, in plants, micro-organisms, animals and people, and dead, mainly in soil, organic matter and tree trunks) and fossil fuels (formed by the transformation of dead biomass) are enormous stores of chemical energy. This energy is held in the atomic bonds of tissues and fuels and released through combustion (rapid oxidation) which produces heat (an *exothermic* reaction). This results in new chemical bonds, the formation of carbon dioxide, frequently the emission of nitrogen and often sulfur oxides, and, in the case of liquid and gaseous fuels, the production of water.

# HEAT

Heat of combustion (or specific energy) is the difference between the energy of the bonds in the initial reactants and that in the bonds in the newly-formed compounds. The poorest fuels (wet peat, wet straw) release less than a third of the thermal energy produced by burning gasoline or kerosene. The energy content of a fuel, foodstuff, or any other combustible material can easily be determined by burning an absolutely dry sample of it in a calorimeter (a device that measure the heat given off during chemical reactions). Heat is produced by a number of other energy conversions: nuclear fission is a major commercial process whose heat is used to generate electricity, heat arising due to the resistance to the flow of electric current is used to prepare food, boil water and warm interior spaces, and friction produces a great deal of unwanted (inside vehicle transmissions) as well as unavoidable (between vehicle tires and road) heat.

Once produced, heat can be transferred in three ways: conduction (that is direct molecular contact, most commonly in solids), convection (by moving liquids or gases) and radiation (the emission of electromagnetic waves by bodies warmer than their surrounding). Most of the heat that radiates at ambient temperatures from the Earth's surface, plants, buildings, and people is invisible infra-red

# HEAT (cont.)

radiation, but hot (above 1200 °C) objects (such as the coiled tungsten wires in light bulbs, molten steel in electric arc furnaces or distant stars) radiate also in visible light.

Latent heat is the amount of energy needed to effect a physical change with no temperature change: changing water to steam (latent heat of vaporization) at 100 °C requires exactly 6.75 times more energy than does the changing of ice into water at 0 °C.

The heating of water also accounts for most of the difference between the gross (or higher) heating value of fuels and their net (lower) heating value. The first is the total amount of energy released by a unit of fuel during combustion with all the water condensed to liquid (and hence the heat of vaporization is recovered); the second subtracts the energy required to evaporate the water formed during combustion. The difference is around one per cent for coke (virtually pure carbon, whose combustion generates only carbon dioxide), around ten per cent for natural gases and nearly twenty per cent for pure hydrogen (whose combustion generates only water). The gap may be even larger for wood, but only a small part of the difference is due to hydrogen present in the fuel. Fresh (wet) wood simply contains too much (sometimes more than seventy-five per cent) moisture: most of the thermal energy released by the combustion of unseasoned (green) wood goes into evaporating water rather than warming a room and if wet wood has more than sixty-seven per cent of water it will not ignite.

The efficiency of energy conversion is simply the ratio of desirable output to initial input. Photosynthesis is perhaps the best example of a highly inefficient process: even for the most productive crops no more than four to five per cent of the solar radiation that strikes their fields every year will be transformed into new plant mass (phytomass), and the global annual average of the process (commonly prevented by excessive cold or lack of moisture) equates to a meager 0.3%. When the initial input is limited only to photosynthetically active radiation (wavelengths that can be absorbed by plant pigments, which average about forty-five per cent of the incoming sunlight) the useful transfer

#### energy: a beginner's guide

doubles but globally still remains below one per cent. High energy loss during a low-efficiency conversion simply means that only a very small part of the original energy input could be transformed into a desired service or product: no energy has been lost (the first law of thermodynamics), but (as the second law of thermodynamics dictates) a large share of the initial input ends up as unusable, dispersed heat.

In contrast, there is no shortage of processes, devices and machines whose efficiency is greater than ninety per cent. Electricity can be converted to heat by a baseboard resistance heater with 100% efficiency. Healthy people on balanced diets can digest carbohydrates (sugars, starches) with an efficiency of as much as 99%, the best natural gas furnaces can convert between 95 to 97% of the incoming fuel into heat inside a house, more than ninety five per cent of electricity gets converted into the rapid rotation of large electrical motors, and, conversely, the giant turbines in thermal stations convert up to 99% of their mechanical energy into electricity as they rotate in a magnetic field.

Despite their diverse manifestations—ranging from the blinding light of our nearest star to the imperceptible but deadly ionizing radiation that can escape from a malfunctioning nuclear reactor, from the high-temperature combustion in rocket engines to the amazingly intricate enzymatic reactions that proceed at ambient temperature and pressure—all energy phenomena can be quantified with the help of a small number of universal units. While many traditional yardsticks are still in everyday use around the world, modern scientific and engineering quantifications are based on the *Système International d'Unités* (International System of Units, commonly abbreviated as SI) that was adopted in 1960. In this book I will use only the appropriate SI units: the complete list, as well as the prefixes to indicate multiples and submultiples, will be found later in this chapter.

# quantitative understanding: the necessity of units

The SI specifies seven fundamental measures: length, mass, time, electric current, temperature, amount of substance and luminous intensity. These units are used directly, to measure the seven common variables, as well as to derive more complex quantities. The latter category includes some relatively simple units used in everyday situations (area, volume, density, speed, pressure) as well as more complex concepts deployed in science and engineering (force, pressure, energy, capacitance, luminous flux). Only three fundamental variables—mass (M), length (L) and time (T)—are needed to derive the units repeatedly encountered in energy studies. Area is obviously L<sup>2</sup>, and volume L<sup>3</sup>, mass density M/L<sup>3</sup>, speed L/T, acceleration (change of speed per unit of time) L/T<sup>2</sup> and force, according to Newton's second law of motion, ML/T<sup>2</sup> (mass multiplied by acceleration). Energy is expended (work is done) when a force is exerted over a distance: energy's dimensional formula is thus ML<sup>2</sup>/T<sup>2</sup>.

The scientific definition of *power* is simply rate of energy use: power equals energy per time, or ML<sup>2</sup>/T<sup>3</sup>. One of the most common abuses of the term, found even in engineering journals, is to confuse power with electricity and to talk about power generating plants: in reality, they generate electrical energy at a variable rate, determined by industrial, commercial and household demand for kinetic energy (produced by electric motors), thermal energy (for industrial furnaces, heat processing, and home heating) and electromagnetic energy (or more accurately its visible segment, light). And, obviously, knowing a particular machine's power rating tells you nothing about how much energy it will use unless you know for how long it will run.

Everybody is familiar with the standard names of SI units for length (meter, m), mass (kilogram, kg) and time (second, s) but degrees Kelvin (K) rather than Celsius are used to measure temperature; the ampere (A) is the unit of electric current, the mole (mol) quantifies the amount of substance and the candela (cd) the luminous intensity. More than twenty derived units, including all energy-related variables, have special names and symbols, many given in honor of leading scientists and engineers. The unit of force, kgm/s<sup>2</sup> (kilogram-meter per second squared), is the newton (N): the application of 1 N can accelerate a mass of one kilogram by one meter per second each second. The unit of energy, the joule (J), is the force of one newton acting over a distance of one meter (kgm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>). Power, simply the energy flow per unit of time (kgm²/s³), is measured in watts (W): one watt equals one J/s and, conversely, energy then equals power × time, and hence one J is one watt-second.

Luminous intensity

BASIC SI UNITS				
Unit of	Name	Symbol		
Length	meter	m		
Mass	kilogram	kg		
Time	second	s		
Electric current	ampere	А		
Temperature	kelvin	К		
Amount of substance	mole	mol		

candela

cd

One of the most revealing measures in energy studies is power density (W/m<sup>2</sup>). This SI-derived unit is sometimes called, in a more restrictive manner, heat flux density or irradiance, but the concept of power per unit area can obviously be extended to any flow of energy, from food harvests to average demand for electricity in densely inhabited urban areas. The measure's denominator is the area of the Earth's surface, a building's footprint or any other horizontal area. The power density of incoming solar radiation determines the biosphere's energy flows; the power density of household energy use dictates the rate of fuel and electricity inputs. In some cases it is also revealing to calculate the vertical power density of energy flows. This is particularly useful in the case of the strong winds, floods and tsunami that can strike vegetation and structures with large forces per unit of vertical area and cause tremendous damage: just think of the December 26, 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami.

Perhaps the easiest way to get an appreciation for the magnitude of these energy and power units is through gravitational acceleration: at the Earth's surface this equals 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>; rounding this to ten (boosting it by less than two per cent) will make the following calculations easier. If you hold a mass of one kilogram one meter above ground—for example a plastic one-liter bottle of water roughly at the elbow height—it will be subject to a force (downward gravitational pull) of ten newtons. If you hold instead something that has only one-tenth of the bottle's mass (a small mandarin orange is about 0.1 kg) it will be subject to the force of one newton. So, picking up that orange from the kitchen floor and putting it on the counter (roughly one meter above the floor) requires the expenditure of one joule of energy; if you did it in about one second then you would have expended energy at the rate of one watt.

### MAGNITUDES OF ENERGY AND POWER

Basic energy and power units refer to very small amounts and rates. A single chickpea contains 5,000 J of chemical energy; a tiny vole needs 50,000 J a day just to stay alive. The full gasoline tank in my Honda Civic contains about 1,250,000,000 J and when I drive I burn that fuel at the rate of about eight liters per 100 km, which equates to an average power of about 40,000 W. Winds in a violent thunderstorm will unleash more than 100,000,000,000,000 J in less than an hour so their power will be more than 25,000,000 W. The need for specific prefixes to avoid writing out all those zeros or using constantly scientific notation  $(10^n)$  is thus obvious and, given the smallness of basic units, energy studies uses not only the common thousand-  $(10^3, kilo, k)$  and million-fold  $(10^{6}, mega, M)$  prefixes but also higher multipliers: G  $(10^9, giga)$ , T  $(10^{12}, tera)$ , P  $(10^{15}, peta)$ , and E  $(10^{18}, exa)$ . New prefixes, for  $10^{21}$  and  $10^{24}$ , were added to the SI in 1991.

#### MULTIPLES

Prefix	Abbreviation	Scientific notation
deka	da	10 <sup>1</sup>
hecto	h	10 <sup>2</sup>
kilo	k	10 <sup>3</sup>
mega	М	106
giga	G	10 <sup>9</sup>
tera	Т	1012
peta	Р	1015
exa	E	1018
zeta	Z	1021
yota	Y	1024

Mega, giga (MJ and GJ) and kilo (kWh) are the most commonly used multipliers for energy, kilo, mega and giga (kW, MW and GW) for power. The net energy content of fuels ranges from eleven MJ/kg (or GJ/t) for air-dry straw (about twenty per cent moisture) to

## MAGNITUDES OF ENERGY AND POWER (cont.)

forty-four MJ/kg for gasoline, and the gross energy content of foods (digestibility determines the share of food that is actually used by an organism) goes from less than one MJ/kg for leafy vegetables to nearly forty MJ/kg for pure fats (a table later in this chapter lists the averages and ranges of energy contents for all common fuels and major food-stuff categories). One thousand watt-hours or 3.6 million watt-seconds are one kilowatthour (kWh), a unit commonly used to measure and price electricity consumption: the average American household uses about 1,000 kWh (1 MWh) a month, roughly the equivalent of having fourteen 100 W lights ablaze night and day for thirty days.

Energy content of fuels	MJ/kg	
Hydrogen	114.0	
Gasolines	44.0-45.0	
Crude oils	42.0-44.0	
Natural gas	33.0-37.0	
Anthracite	29.0-31.0	
Bituminous coal	22.0-26.0	
Lignites	12.0-20.0	
Air-dried wood	14.0-16.0	
Cereal straws	12.0-15.0	

As for power, small kitchen appliances (from coffee grinders to coffee makers) are mostly rated between 50–500 W, the power of passenger cars is 50–95 kW for subcompacts (Toyota Echo) and compacts (Honda Civic), and 95–150 kW for sedans (Toyota Camry and Honda Accord). Large steam- and water-driven turbo-generators have capacities of between 500–800 MW and their multiple installations in the world's largest fossil-fueled power plants can generate electricity at rates surpassing 2 GW. China's Sanxia (Three Gorges) project (the world's largest) will have twenty-six turbines with an aggregate capacity of 18.2 GW.

Common power density yardsticks include the total amount of solar radiation reaching the ground (averaging about 170  $W/m^2$ ) and the thermal energy radiated by downtowns of large cities (the *urban heat island effect*, commonly in excess of 50  $W/m^2$ ). As

### MAGNITUDES OF ENERGY AND POWER (cont.)

far as vertical power densities are concerned, well-built structures should not suffer any notable damage from fluxes below 18 kW/m<sup>2</sup>; powerful tornadoes strike with more than 100 W/m<sup>2</sup> and tsunami can be even more destructive.

At the opposite end of the power and energy spectrum are the quantities that need the most commonly used submultiples: milli (m,  $10^{-3}$ ), micro ( $\mu$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ) and nano (n,  $10^{-9}$ ). Every strike as I type this book costs me about 2 mJ of kinetic energy, a 2 mm dewdrop on a blade of grass has a potential energy of 4  $\mu$ J, and the mass-energy of a proton is 0.15 nJ. Power-wise, the laser in a CD-ROM drive is rated at 5 mW, a quartz watch needs about 1  $\mu$ W, and a flea hops with the power of some 100 nW.

#### Scientific notation Prefix Abbreviation deci Ь $10^{-1}$ $10^{-2}$ centi C milli $10^{-3}$ m micro 10-6 μ 10-9 nano n 10-12 pico p femto f 10-15 atto $10^{-18}$ а 10-21 zepto Z 10-24 vocto y

SUBMULTIPLES

This is an apposite place to reiterate that the power tells you nothing about the total amount of energy consumed or released by the rated process. A giant lightning bolt has a power of the same order of magnitude (10<sup>13</sup> W) as the Earth's geothermal flow—but the lightning is ephemeral, lasting a very small fraction of a second, while the geothermal flow has been going on incessantly since the planet's formation some four and a half billion years ago. Similarly, if you are a small (50 kg) female, your basal metabolism (the conversion of food into energy forms that can be used for growth and activity), going

# energy in our minds: concepts and measures [19]

#### 18 energy: a beginner's guide

non-stop as long as you live, amounts to some 60 W—a rate as small as a lamp that you may switch on only occasionally for a few hours. The solar radiation reaching the Earth is, of course, its most powerful continuous energy flow, which delimits most natural processes (geothermal energy and gravitational attraction do the rest) and hence the characteristics of the planet's habitable environment: it proceeds at the rate of  $1.7 \times 10^{17}$  W (that is 170 PW). In contrast, in 2005, the consumption of all fossil fuels added up to a global rate of less than 12 TW, the equivalent of only 0.007% of the planet's received solar radiation.

#### **NON-SI UNITS**

All standard SI units have traditional (imperial) counterparts, still used by many craftsmen and engineers in English-speaking countries. The energy content of fuels is still commonly expressed in British thermal units (one Btu = 1055 J), work accomplished in footpounds-force (one ft-lbf = 1.36 J), power (of cars or motors) in horse-power (one hp = 745 W), and force in pounds (one lb force = 4.45 N).

There is also one metric but non-SI unit not derived from the seven basic measures: the *calorie* is the amount of heat needed to raise the temperature of 1 g of water from 14.5 to 15.5 °C. This is a small unit of energy, equal to just over four J (1 cal = 4.18 J), and so we most often use its 1,000-fold multiple, a *kilocalorie* (kcal). A healthy, active adult male with a body mass index (calculated by dividing body weight in kg by the square of height in m) within the optimum range (19–25) will need about 2,500 kcal (2.5 Mcal or 10.5 MJ) of food a day.

But, instead of using the proper scientific prefix, nutritionists began to use Cal (large calorie) to signify a kilocalorie; because small c has been often used mistakenly instead of the capital letter, people are unaware of the difference. You may have friends arguing with you that all you need to eat is 2,500 calories a day. Set them straight: that amount would not feed a twenty gram mouse. Even its daily basal metabolism (assuming it could lie motionless for twenty-four hours—not an easy feat for a mouse) requires about 3,800 cal (almost 16 kJ) a day. In contrast, the daily basic metabolic rate of a healthy 70 kg adult male is about 7.1 MJ and activities will increase this total by anywhere between twenty (for a sedentary lifestyle) and one hundred per cent for prolonged heavy exertion, such as demanding physical labor or endurance sports).

Turning to electricity, current (the flow of electrons through a conductor, usually labeled I in equations) is measured in amps (A): André-Marie Ampère (1775–1836), a French mathematician, was one of the founders of modern electrodynamic studies. The volt (V), (named after Alessandro Volta (1745–1827), an early experimenter with electricity and inventor of the first battery) is the derived unit (V = W/A) of electrical potential, and thus a measure of the difference between the positive and negative terminals of a battery. The resistance (R) encountered by a current is measured in ohms  $(\Omega)$ and depends on the conducting material and its dimensions. Copper is a nearly seventy per cent better conductor than pure aluminum which, in turn, conducts just over three times better than pure iron, and long thin wires offer more resistance than short thick ones. But aluminum alloys are much cheaper than pure copper and so we use them, rather than copper, for long-distance highvoltage lines.

In direct current (DC), electrons flow only in one direction, while alternating current (AC) constantly changes its amplitude and reverses its direction at regular intervals: in North America it does so 120 times a second (a frequency of 60 cycles per second), in Europe, 100 times a second. Ohm's law (Georg Simon Ohm (1789–1854) was a German mathematician and physicist) relates voltage to resistance and current in DC circuits in a linear way: V = IR. The law has to be modified for AC circuits because it ignores reactance, the opposition encountered by the flow of AC in coils (inductive reactance) and capacitors (capacitive reactance). Using impedance, (Z, the combined opposition of reactance and resistance, also measured in  $\Omega$ ) the modified law becomes I = V/Z. But using unadjusted, Ohm's law will not make any major difference for such common household electricity converters as lights and appliances.

This relationship has profound implications both for transmitting electricity and for using it safely. Electric shock, and the risk of electrocution, depend above all on the current that passes through a body. According to Ohm's law, I = V/R, which means that for any given electricity voltage (household supply is 120 V in North America, 230 V in Europe) the current will be minimized by higher resistance. Dry skin offers a resistance of more than 500 k $\Omega$  and will limit the current to a harmless level of just a few milliamps. In contrast, wet skin provides a low-resistance (just 1 k $\Omega$ ) conductor for lethal currents of 100–300 mA, which can trigger ventricular

#### 20 energy: a beginner's quide

fibrillation and death. Accidentally touching a 120 V wire with dry hands is rarely lethal for healthy adults; poking about with sweaty hands and bare feet on a humid summer day is an altogether different proposition, even with just 120 V. And, all else being equal, one is always better off touching a live wire in Boston or Vancouver than in London or Paris

# DIRECT AND ALTERNATING CURRENT

Because power is the product of current and voltage (IV), and voltage equals current multiplied by resistance, power is the product of I<sup>2</sup>R—and current and resistance together determine your use of electricity. For example, you need a high resistance (about 140  $\Omega$ ) to produce a white glow of incandescent light, and a relatively low resistance (about 15  $\Omega$ ) for a bread toaster: the light's high resistance would incinerate the bread; the toaster's low resistance produces only a reddish glow. But a light bulb needs only 100 W and so draws only about 0.8 A; in contrast, the toaster rates 800 W and so needs more than 7 A. I'R also means that transmitting the same amount of power with one hundred times higher voltage will cut the current by ninety-nine per cent and reduce the resistance losses by the same amount.

This explains why all modern networks use AC both for longdistance transmission and distribution to homes. The earliest electric networks, engineered by Thomas Edison (1847-1931) during the early 1880s, delivered DC, whose voltage either had to match that of the load (a light or a motor) or be reduced to its level by a converter placed in series or a resistor that wasted the difference. Raising voltage and reducing current, in order to limit DC transmission losses, would have resulted in dangerously high load voltages in houses and factories. In contrast, even after transmitting highvoltage AC across long distances with minimized losses, it can be reduced to acceptably low voltages by transformers.

Edison resisted the introduction of AC until 1890 and indeed, actively campaigned against it. The innovations of the late 1880s reliable transformers, AC motors and meters and rotary DC-to-AC converters that made it possible to connect existing DC stations and networks to high-voltage AC lines-decided the outcome: the battle of systems was basically over by 1890, and although some DC

#### DIRECT AND ALTERNATING CURRENT(cont.)

networks lingered until after World War I, the future clearly belonged to AC. But there is plenty of DC coursing through electrical devices all around us, either converted from AC or supplied by batteries. High starting torgue (a force that produces rotation) makes DC motors the best choice for electric trains. They get their supply from overhead AC lines and convert it, onboard, to DC, and personal computers use converters to supply up to 5 V DC to the digital circuits and more then 10 V to the motors for disk drives.

Portable DC needs are supplied by many types of batteries, compact devices that change chemical energy directly into electrical energy. By far the most common is the big, rechargeable, lead-acid battery used in hundreds of millions of cars: it supplies 12 V from six cells, with cathodes (positive electrodes) of lead and anodes (negative electrodes) of lead oxide-coated lead and between them, sulfuric acid. Car batteries energize not only starter motors but also the many small DC motors that do tasks that were previously done by hand (open windows, adjust side-view mirrors, lock doors). The small cylindrical batteries that power an increasing array of toys, flashlights, radios, televisions, and music players are fundamentally just improved versions of the carbon-zinc cell invented by Georges Leclanché (1839–1882) in the 1860s. His original battery had a wet electrolyte, the familiar dry cell that uses a slightly acidic pasty electrolyte came later. In 1959, Energizer introduced an alkaline battery (with potassium hydroxide electrolyte) and replaced the traditional carbon (graphite) cathode with manganese dioxide. All cylindrical batteries have a flat metal base as a negative and a raised metal cap as a positive terminal. The most common types are the chunky D for flashlights and finger-slim AA for electronic gadgets. All these small cylindrical batteries deliver 1.5 V at 2600 Ma/h, and have a fairly long shelf life, but their voltage steadily drops with use. There are many other types of batteries including slim lithium-ion rectangular prisms for laptop computers (from 6-16 V) and the tiny silver oxide 1.5 V button cells that power hearing aids and watches.

Now we are ready to proceed, in evolutionary sequence, with a systematic review of energies in nature, history, and modern society.